

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,554	08/27/2001	Per Eld Ibsen	980.1109US01	4984
22865	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC			PAYNE, DAVID C	
6500 CITY WEST PARKWAY SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55344-7704		2638	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		ÚK .
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/940,554	IBSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David C. Payne	2638
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the commendation of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-33 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7, 10-12, and 14-17 is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the co	awn from consideration. Ito. /or election requirement. ner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objusted drawing(s) be held in abeyare ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) ☐ Intention	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/940,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 2638

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 21 March 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 18-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 21, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 8, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushima US 5,805,759 (Fukushima) in view of Ogusu et al. US 5917625 A (Ogusu).

Application/Control Number: 09/940,554

Art Unit: 2638

Re claims 1 and 24, Fukushima disclosed,

A channel power control device/method, comprising: a first multiple channel port (16 of Figure 22); a second multiple channel port (18 of Figure 22); a dispersion region (space between elements 20 and 22) where individual optical channels propagating from the first optical multiple channel port are spaced apart; a diffraction unit (20 of Figure 22) disposed between the first multiple channel port and the dispersion region, the diffraction unit defining wavelength-specific optical paths between the first multiple channel port and respective single channel ports of the plurality of single channel ports, the diffraction unit including at least first (20 of Figure 22) and second diffraction elements (22 of Figure 22); and a reflector (124 of Figure 22) in the dispersion region disposed to reflect respective individual optical channels from the first multiple channel port to the second multiple channel port. Fukushima does not disclose a plurality of reflectors. However, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to separate the reflector into a plurality of reflectors as a preferred design choice where size of reflecting elements is of higher concern. Furthermore, making parts separable is not considered patentable over the prior art. Fukushima does not disclose that the diffraction gratings are transmissive diffraction gratings. Ogusu disclosed the use of transmissive diffraction gratings (140 of Figure 26). It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use transmissive diffraction gratings depending on the axis of the diffracted light in relation to the other system components. One would be motivated to transmissive diffraction gratings depending on how the light was to impinge on downstream elements in the system.

Re claims 2 and 3, in the modified invention of Fukushima and Ogusu, Fukushima disclosed further comprising a first light-focusing unit (28 of Figure 22) disposed on the plurality of wavelength-specific optical paths between the first multiple channel port and the diffraction unit.

Re claims 4 and 5, in the modified invention of Fukushima and Ogusu, Fukushima disclosed a second light-focusing unit disposed on the wavelength-specific optical paths between the diffraction unit and the plurality of reflectors in the embodiment of Figure 22. However, embodiment of Figure 12

shows a second lens (30) used to focus the light onto an output port. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the Figure 12 arrangement with two lenses rather than one if the objective was to unfold the input and output ports into a single direction. Furthermore, making parts separable is not considered patentable over the prior art.

Re claims 6, in the modified invention of Fukushima and Ogusu, Fukushima disclosed a polarization separation unit (62 of Figure 12) disposed between the first multiple channel port and the diffraction unit to separate light entering the device from the first multiple channel port into first and second components having mutually orthogonal polarizations.

Re claim 8, in the modified invention of Fukushima and Ogusu, Fukushima disclosed a multiple channel waveguide (16 of Figure 22) coupled to the first multiple channel port.

Re claim 9, in the modified invention of Fukushima and Ogusu, Fukushima disclosed wherein the reflector has fixed values of reflectivity selected so as to impose a desired reflectivity profile across multiple channels received from the first multiple channel port (124 of Figure 22, single mirror).

Re claims 13, in the modified invention of Fukushima and Ogusu, Fukushima disclosed a dynamically adjustable attenuator (6 of Figure 22) disposed between the diffraction unit and one of the first and second multiple channel ports (see Fukushima e.g., col./line: 5/55-67).

Art Unit: 2638

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7, 10-12, and 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-3024. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dcp

David C. Payne Patent Examiner

AU 2638